

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/642,431 08/15/2003 Takeshi Minegishi 001456USU/2215 9878 27623 7590 08/29/2005 **EXAMINER** OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, LLP BROWN, PETER R ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER STAMFORD, CT 06901 3636

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/642,431	MINEGISHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter R. Brown	3636
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4 and 8</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate
3) Anformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/642,431

Art Unit: 3636

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,6,7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Vu Khac et al, Larsson, or Suzuki et al in view of Yoneshige et al.

Vu Khac et al (fig. 8) Larsson (figs. 2,3) and Suzuki et al (figs. 1,2) show various seat cushions having foam bodies with venting holes therein, and porous cushion bodies provided thereon to allow airflow communication between the vent holes and the permeable cover. The porous cushion bodies may be formed of fibers and meshes of various materials, including synthetic resin materials. While there is no disclosure of the fibers being of continuous linear elements looped windingly and fused together, the patent to Yoneshige et al (fig. 3) teaches the conventionality of providing such continuous looped synthetic fibers in an air-permeable cushioning member, and in view of this suggestion, to have formed the porous cushion bodies of Vu Khac et al, Larsson, or Suzuki et al, in such a manner, would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art, thereby forming a durable, well ventilated, and comfortable seat support.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,431

Art Unit: 3636

The amount of permeability of the cushion and diameter of the fiber elements, while considered a matter of design choice, appears to be met by the cited references. Note the conventional pan frame 36 of Larsson.

Claims 5 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claims 1-3,6,7 and 10 above, and further in view of either Schuster or Fenton.

To have provided the vents of Vu Khac et al, Larsson, or Suzuki et al with check valves, so as to limit the air flow direction, would have been an obvious modification to one with ordinary skill in the art, as such is shown to be old and well known in the art by Schuster (figs. 1,2) and Fenton (fig. 3).

Claims 4 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Dinkel et al, Orizaris et al, Kunkel et al and Buss et al, show various features of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter R. Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-6853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter R. Brown Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

prb